

10/776,564

**REMARKS**

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for the reasons noted in the official action. The rejected claims are accordingly amended, by the above claim amendments, and the presently pending claims are now believed to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter regarded as the invention, thereby overcoming all of the raised § 112, second paragraph, rejections. The entered claim amendments are directed solely at overcoming the raised indefiniteness rejection(s) and are not directed at distinguishing the present invention from the art of record in this case.

Next, claims 13 and 14 are rejected, under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), as being anticipated by either Reuter et al. '325 or Teraoka '478, while claims 7, 10 and 12 are rejected, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as being unpatentable over Reuter et al. '324 in view of Morrill '620 or Teraoka '478 in view of Morrill '620. The Applicant acknowledges and respectfully traverses all of the raised anticipatory and obviousness rejections in view of the following remarks.

The Applicant thanks the Examiner for indicating that claims 8 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, and include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In accordance with this indication, the subject matter of claim 8 is incorporated into independent claim 7 and this amended independent claim is now believed to be allowable. As claims 10-12 all depend, either directly or indirectly, from this amended independent claim, those dependent claims are also believed to be allowable.

In addition, claim 9 is amended to be an independent claim and this amended independent claim is now believed to be allowable. Lastly, the subject matter of claim 9 is also incorporated into independent claim 13 and this amended independent claim is now believed to be allowable for the same reasons as claim 9 is allowable.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

02/09/2006 14:48 FAX 603 624 9229

DAVIS & BUJOLD, PLLC

007

10/776,564

In view of the above claim amendments, the Applicant respectfully submits that further comments concerning the applied prior art is not believed necessary. The Applicant also notes the remaining prior art cited in the official action. As none of that additional art is applied by the Examiner against the claims of this application, the Applicant is not providing any comments concerning that art as well.

If any further amendment to this application is believed necessary to advance prosecution and place this case in allowable form, the Examiner is courteously solicited to contact the undersigned representative of the Applicant to discuss the same.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all of the raised rejections should be withdrawn at this time. If the Examiner disagrees with the Applicant's view concerning the withdrawal of the outstanding rejections or applicability of the Reuter et al. '324, Teraoka '478 and/or Morrill '620 references, the Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to indicate the specific passage or passages, or the drawing or drawings, which contain the necessary teaching, suggestion and/or disclosure required by case law. As such teaching, suggestion and/or disclosure is not present in the applied references, the raised rejection should be withdrawn at this time. Alternatively, if the Examiner is relying on his/her expertise in this field, the Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to enter an affidavit substantiating the Examiner's position so that suitable contradictory evidence can be entered in this case by the Applicant.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the raised rejection(s) should be withdrawn and this application is now placed in a condition for allowance. Action to that end, in the form of an early Notice of Allowance, is courteously solicited by the Applicant at this time.

The Applicant respectfully requests that any outstanding objection(s) or requirement(s), as to the form of this application, be held in abeyance until allowable subject matter is indicated for this case.

29/00-1004 AM

- 6 -

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

02/09/2006 14:48 FAX 603 624 9229

DAVIS & BUJOLD, PLLC

008

10/776,564

In the event that there are any fee deficiencies or additional fees are payable, please charge the same or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account (Account No. 04-0213).

Respectfully submitted,



Michael J. Bujold, Reg. No. 32,018  
**Customer No. 020210**  
Davis & Bujold, P.L.L.C.  
Fourth Floor  
500 North Commercial Street  
Manchester NH 03101-1151  
Telephone 603-624-9220  
Facsimile 603-624-9229  
E-mail: patent@davisandbujold.com

2/09/2006 14:48 AM

- 7 -